Spiegelman, Nina: Christenson, Kara: Steckel, Andrew: Lakin, Matt Re: APCD Board recons dering Rule 1001 Tuesday, June 02, 2015 12:56:07 PM

Hi folks,
Thanks for the quick turnaround attorney client and b5

Amy

Amy Zimpfer, Associate Director, USEPA, Region 9 $\pm 1\,415\,947\,4146$

zimpfer amy@epa gov

On Jun 2, 2015, at 10:28 AM, LEVIN, NANCY < Levin Nancy@epa gov > wrote:

Nancy Levin Air Rules Office

415.972.3848 | levin.nancy@epa.gov

US EPA Region 9 75 Hawthorne St (AIR-4) San Francisco CA 94105

From: Zimpfer, Amy

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 12:05 PM

To: Lakin, Matt; LEVIN, NANCY

Cc: Spiegelman, Nina; Christenson, Kara; Steckel, Andrew

Subject: RE: APCD Board reconsidering Rule 1001

attorney client and

Amy Zimpfer, Associate Director USEPA, Region 9, Air Division 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105 $\underline{zimpfer.amy@epa.gov}\ + 1.415.947.4146$

NOTICE This communication may contain priv leged or other confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or believe that you have received this communication in error, please do not print, copy, retransmit, disseminate, or otherwise use the information. Also, please indicate to the sender that you have received this communication in error, and delete the copy you received.

From: Lakin, Matt

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 10:54 AM To: LEVIN, NANCY; Zimpfer, Amy

Subject: FW: APCD Board reconsidering Rule 1001

Matthew Lakin, Ph D

Manager, Air Planning Office

US EPA, Region 9 (AIR-2) | 75 Hawthorne St | San Francisco, CA 94105

P: 415 972 3851 | E: Lakin Matthew@epa gov

From: rachelle toti [mailto:ccca3858@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 9:31 AM

To: Lakin, Matt

Cc: Arlene Versaw

Subject: APCD Board reconsidering Rule 1001

Good Morning Matt.

You may want to watch the May 27th APCD Board meeting The simple revision to remove the permit requirement turned into a 2 hour discussion culminating in a request for more options Mr Allen is supposed to contact the EPA before the June 17th APCD meeting to learn whether an MOU instead of a rule would be acceptable

In 2010, about a year before the rule was adopted, the County, State Parks and the APCD entered into an "agreement" to explore solutions to the dust problem This agreement created two committees, the technical advisory group and the management oversight group Within a year, the let's work together approach collapsed, Larry can give you the inside scoop on why Thereafter, the APCD started working on drafting a Rule

Several of the Board members who are advocating an MOU, were not on the board at that time Given this history, would the EPA agree to an MOU?

http://www.sanluisobispo.com/2015/05/30/3657901/oceano-dunes-dust-still-reaches.html